ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA598347 04/14/2014

Filing date:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91215246
Party	Defendant Empire Resorts, Inc.
Correspondence Address	CHARLES N QUINN FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 2000 MARKET ST, 20TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3222 UNITED STATES cquinn@foxrothschild.com, dmcgregor@foxrothschild.com, cesch@foxrothschild.com, ipdocket@foxrothschild.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	CHARLES N. QUINN
Filer's e-mail	cquinn@foxrothschild.com, dmcgregor@foxrothschild.com, ipdocket@foxrothschild.com, dwilliams@foxrothschild.com, cesch@foxrothschild.com
Signature	/Charles N. Quinn/
Date	04/14/2014
Attachments	25397893_1.PDF(115287 bytes )

## THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_

V.

EMPIRE RESORTS, INC.,

LVGV, LLC, : Opposition: 91215246

Opposer

: Application: 85/736,471

Mark: "M (stylized)"

Applicant : Class 28

\_\_\_\_\_

### **ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION**

Applicant, Empire Resorts, Inc. ("Applicant"), hereby answers the Notice of Opposition as filed in correspondingly numbered paragraphs:

- 1. Lacking information and belief, denied.
- 2. Admitted only that Opposer attached to the Notice of Opposition what appear to be reports from the electronic database records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office showing the current status and title of certain putative trademark registrations; otherwise, lacking information and belief, denied.
  - 3. Lacking information and belief, denied.
  - 4. Admitted.
  - 5. Admitted.
  - 6. Lacking information and belief, denied.
  - 7. Lacking information and belief, denied.
  - 8. Lacking information and belief, denied.
  - 9. Lacking information and belief, denied.
  - 10. Lacking information and belief, denied.
  - 11. Lacking information and belief, denied.

- 12. Lacking information and belief, denied.
- 13. Admitted only that Applicant's application does not contain any trade channel restrictions; otherwise, denied.
- 14. Admitted only that Applicant seeks registration for Applicant's mark in connection with the services recited; otherwise denied.
- 15. Admitted that the recitation of services set forth in this paragraph is an accurate recitation of the services as set forth in Applicant's application; otherwise denied, and specifically denied that Applicant's mark is likely to cause confusion with, or is confusingly similar to, the putative alleged "M" marks allegedly owned by Opposer.
- 16. Admitted that the dominant element in Applicant's "M" (stylized) mark is the letter "M". Otherwise, denied.
- 17. Admitted only that the dominant feature of Applicant's mark is the letter "M"; otherwise denied.
- 18. Admitted that some of the services recited in Applicant's application may be similar to services recited in Opposer's putative registrations for Opposer's putative marks; otherwise, denied, and specifically denied that Applicant's services overlap with or are intended to overlap with any services allegedly offered by Opposer.
  - 19. Denied.
  - 20. Denied.
- 21. Generally denied, and specifically denied that there will be any defect, objection to or fault found with Applicant's services.

22. Admitted that if Applicant is granted registration Applicant will obtain the *prima* 

facie exclusive right to use Applicant's mark, but denied that any such registration obtained by

Applicant would be injurious or damaging to Opposer.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

**First Affirmative Defense** 

Applicant's mark is distinctive and dissimilar from Opposer's alleged putative marks in

appearance, sound, connotation, and commercial impression and is unlikely to cause confusion

with any or all of Oppoers's alleged putative marks. Registration of Applicant's mark will not

damage Opposer.

Applicant reserves the right to plead additional affirmative defenses and counterclaims in

the event evidence comes to hand in the course of this proceeding to support the same.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that this opposition be dismissed and that application

serial number 85/736,471 be issued as a registration for Applicant's mark "M (stylized)".

Respectfully submitted,

/Charles N. Quinn/

Charles N. Quinn

Darcy A. Williams

Attorneys for Applicant

Fox Rothschild LLP

747 Constitution Drive, Suite 100

Exton. PA 19341

Tel: 610-458-4984; Fax: 610-458-7337

email: cquinn@foxrothschild.com

Date: 14 April 2014

# THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

LVGV, LLC, : Opposition: 91215246

Opposer

v. : Application: 85/736,471

EMPIRE RESORTS, INC., : Mark: "M (stylized)"

Applicant : Class 28

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Charles N. Quinn, Esquire, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Answer to Notice of Opposition was served on Opposer's Counsel via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid and by electronic mail on 14 April 2014 at the addresses below:

Hara K. Jacobs
Troy Larson
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
1735 Market Street, 51<sup>st</sup> Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
jacobsh@ballardspahr.com
larsont@ballardspahr.com

/Charles N. Quinn/ Charles N. Quinn